AN OVERVIEW OF THE AMPHIBIAN FAUNA OF INDIA

ROBERT F. INGER¹ AND SUSHIL K. DUTTA²

INTRODUCTION

The last complete review of the Amphibia of India was Boulenger's in 1890. Since then many papers have been published describing new species (e.g., Rao 1937), revising certain species groups (e.g., Pillai 1978), or reviewing the species of a particular area (e.g., Daniel 1963, 1975). Through these publications and others cited below, the number of species of amphibians known to occur in India has more than doubled the 77 reported by Boulenger. Additions to the known fauna have not ended. The literature of the last 100 years has also added much to our knowledge of the distribution of Indian amphibians (e.g., Mahendra 1939, Jayaram 1974). Yet even a casual examination of Tables 1 and 2 in this paper will reveal that in this area, too, there is much to learn.

Given that so many basic facts concerning composition and distribution of the fauna remain to be gathered, we present this overview knowing that it will require serious revision in the future. Nonetheless, we believe its publication now is justified if for no other reason than to provide a summary of present knowledge. The relationship of the Indian fauna to those of adjacent areas can also be discerned now, even given the imperfect state of our knowledge. We present our view of that

relationship. We are indebted to Mr. J. C. Daniel, who suggested that we consider this review.

SIZE AND COMPOSITION OF THE INDIAN AMPHIBIAN FAUNA

The variety of climates, vegetation, and topography encompassed by India (including here Sikkim and Bhutan) provide a great range of environments which leads one to expect a highly diverse fauna. The Amphibia reflect this effect, for at least 181 species occur in India (Table 1). Yet, as we will show below, there are good reasons for believing that the Indian amphibian fauna is still incompletely known and that the true diversity of the fauna is greater than just indicated.

All three extant orders of Amphibia occur in India: Caudata (salamanders) — 1 species; Gymnophiona (caecilians) — 15 species; Anura (frogs and toads) — 165 species. That only one species of salamander is found in India is not surprising, for the group is essentially temperate in its Asian distribution. Only three species occur south of China in eastern Asia, none south of the southern flank of the Himalayas and northern Burma, Thailand, and Victnam. The caecilians, a small pan-tropical group with only about 160 species world-wide, had been little studied anywhere in the world until recently. Seven of the Indian species were described in 1960-1964 (Taylor 1960, 1964).

Frogs and toads make up 91% of species of Indian amphibians, which is just slightly

¹ Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, Illinois, USA.

² Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar, Orissa, India.

Table 1

List of Indian species of amphibians and known occurrence in states

Spec	ies	States
ANI	JRA	
	DBATIDAE:	
1	Leptobrachium hasselti Tschudi, 1838	Meghalaya
2	Megophrys boettgeri (Boulenger, 1899)	Assam, Arunachal Pradesh
3	Megophrys parva (Boulenger, 1893)	Sikkim, West Bengal
	Megophrys robusta (Boulenger, 1908)	West Bengal
5	Scutiger occidentalis Dubois, 1977	Jammu & Kashmir
6	Scutiger sikimmensis (Blyth, 1854)	Sikkim, West Bengal
	ONIDAE:	Sikkini, West Bengai
7	Ansonia ornata Günther, 1875	Karnataka
8	Ansonia rubigina Pillai & Pattabiraman, 1981	Kerala
9	Bufo abatus Ahl, 1925	West Bengal
	Bufo beddomii Günther, 1875	Kerala
11	Bufo brevirostris Rao, 1937	Karnataka
12	Bufo camortensis Mansukhani & Sarkar, 1980	Andaman Islands
13	Bufo fergusonii Boulenger, 1892	Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Orissa,
13	Bujo jergusonii Boulenger, 1832	Tamil Nadu
14	Bufo himalayana Günther, 1894	
15	Bufo hololius Günther, 1875	Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, Sikkim, West Bengal Kerala
16	Bufo koynayensis Soman, 1963	Maharashtra
17	Bufo latastii Boulenger, 1882	Jammu & Kashmir
18	Bufo melanostictus Schneider, 1799	all
19	•	Kerala
20	Bufo microtympanum Boulenger, 1882	Kerala
21	Bufo parietalis Boulenger, 1882	
	Bufo silentvalleyensis Pillai, 1981	Kerala
22	Bufo stomaticus Lutken, 1862	Assam, Bihar, Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka,
23	Bufo stuarti Smith, 1929	Jammu & Kashmir, Maharashtra, Orissa, West Bengal
24	·	Assam
25	Bufo viridis Laurenti, 1768	Jammu & Kashmir, Punjab
23	Bufoides meghalayana (Yazdani & Chanda, 1971)	Meghalaya
26	Pedostibes kempi (Boulenger, 1919)	Machalava
27	Pedostibes tuberculosus Günther, 1875	Meghalaya Kerala
-	idae:	Keraia
	Hyla annectans Jerdon, 1870	Accom Machalaya
	ROHYLIDAE:	Assam, Meghalaya
29	Kaloula pulchra Gray, 1831	Assam, Karnataka, West Bengal
30	Melanobatrachus indicus Beddome, 1878	
31	Microhyla berdmorei (Blyth, 1856)	Kerala Maghalaya
32	Microhyla chakrapani Pillai, 1977	Meghalaya Andamans
33	Microhyla inornata Boulenger, 1890	Andamans
34	Microhyla ornata (Duméril & Bibron, 1841)	all
35	Microhyla rubra (Jerdon, 1854)	Assam, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal
36		
20	Ramanella anamalaiensis Rao, 1937	Kerala

AMPHIBIAN FAUNA OF INDIA

TABLE 1 (contd.)

37	Ramanella minor Rao, 1937	Karnataka
38	Ramanella montana (Jerdon, 1854)	Kerala, Maharashtra
39	Ramanella mormorata Rao, 1937	Karnataka
40	Ramanella triangularis (Günther, 1875)	Karnataka, Kerala, Tamil Nadu
41	Ramanella variegata (Stoliczka, 1872)	Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal
42	Uperodon globulosus (Günther, 1864)	Assam, Bihar, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa, West Bengal
43	Uperodon systoma (Schneider, 1799)	Karnataka, Kerala, Orissa, Himachal Pradesh,
RAN	IIDAE:	Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal
44	Amolops afghanus (Günther, 1858)	Arunachal Pradesh, Himachal Pradesh,
	1 70 (1 1 1 1)	Meghalaya, Sikkim, West Bengal
45	Amolops formosus (Günther, 1875)	Meghalaya, Punjab, Sikkim, West Bengal
46	Amolops monticola (Anderson, 1871)	West Bengal
47	Micrixalus borealis Annandale, 1912	Arunachal Pradesh
48	Micrixalus fuscus (Boulenger, 1882)	Kerala
49	Micrixalus nudis Pillai, 1978	Kerala
50	Micrixalus opisthorhodus (Günther, 1868)	Kerala
51	Micrixalus saxicolus (Jerdon, 1853)	Kerala
52	Micrixalus silvaticus (Boulenger, 1882)	Kerala, Tamil Nadu
53	Micrixalus thampii Pillai, 1981	Kerala Kerala
54	Nannobatrachus beddomii Boulenger, 1882	Kerala, Tamil Nadu
55	Nannobatrachus kempholeyensis Rao, 1937	Karnataka
56	Nanovana pleskei Günther, 1896	Jammu & Kashmir
57	Nyctibatrachus aliciae Inger, Shaffer, Koshy &	Kerala
37	Bakde 1984	Keraia .
58	Nyctibatrachus deccanensis Dubois, 1984	Kerala
59	Nyctibatrachus humayuni Bhaduri & Kripalani, 1955	Maharashtra
60	Nyctibatrachus major Boulenger, 1882	Kerala
61	Nyctibatrachus minor Inger, Shaffer, Koshy, & Bakde, 1984	Kerala
62	Nyctibatrachus sanctipalustris Rao, 1920	Karnataka
63	Nyctibatrachus sylvaticus Rao, 1937	Karnataka
64	Occidozyga lima Kuhl & Van Hasselt, 1822	West Bengal
65	Rana alticola Boulenger, 1882	Meghalaya, Sikkim
66	Rana andamanensis Stoliczka, 1870	Andamans
67	Rana annandalii Boulenger, 1920	West Bengal
68	Rana assamensis Sclater, 1892	Meghalaya, West Bengal
69	Rana aurantiaca Boulenger, 1904	Karnataka, Kerala
70	Rana beddomii (Günther, 1875)	Kerala, Maharashtra
71	Rana bilineata Pillai & Chanda, 1981	Meghalaya
72	Rana blanfordii Boulenger, 1882	Meghalaya, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal
73	Rana brachytarsus (Günther, 1875)	Kerala
74	Rana brevipalmata Peters, 1871	Kerala, Tamil Nadu
75	Rana cancrivora Gravenhorst, 1829	Madhya Pradesh
76	Runa crassa Jerdon, 1853	Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Kerala. Orissa,
		Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal,

TABLE 1 (contd.)

	Table 1 (contd.)									
77	Rana curtipes Jerdon, 1853	Karnataka, Kerala								
78	Rana cyanophlyctis Schneider, 1799	all								
79	Rana danieli Pillai & Chanda, 1977	Meghalaya								
80	Rana diplosticta (Günther, 1875)	Kerala								
81	Rana doriae Boulenger, 1887	Andamans								
82	Rana garoensis Boulenger, 1920	Meghalaya								
83	Rana gerbillus Annandale, 1912	Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya								
84	Rana hascheana (Stoliczka, 1870)	Andamans								
85	Rana hexadactyla Lesson, 1834	Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka, Kerala,								
		Maharashtra, Orissa, Rajasthan (?),								
		Tamil Nadu, West Bengal								
86	Rana intermedius Rao, 1937	Karnataka								
87	Rana keralensis Dubois, 1980	Kerala								
88	Rana khasiana (Anderson, 1871)	Meghalaya								
89	Rana laticeps Boulenger, 1882	Assam								
90	Rana leithii Boulenger, 1888	Gujarat, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra								
91	Rana leptodactyla Boulenger, 1882	Kerala								
92	Rana leptoglossa (Cope, 1868)	Assam, Meghalaya								
93	Rana liebigii Günther, 1860	Jammu & Kashmir, Sikkim, Uttar Pradesh, West								
		Bengal								
94	Rana limnocharis Boie, 1835	all								
95	Rana livida (Blyth, 1855)	Assam, Meghalaya, Sikkim, West Bengal								
96	Rana malabarica Tschudi, 1838	Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra								
97	Rana mawphlangensis Pillai & Chanda, 1977	Manipur, Meghalaya								
98	Rana minica Dubois, 1975	Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh								
99	Rana murthii Pillai, 1979	Kerala								
100	Rana nicobariensis (Stoliczka, 1870)	Nicobars Vocale Tamil Nada								
101	Rana nilagirica Jerdon, 1853	Kerala, Tamil Nadu								
102 103	Rana phrynoderma Boulenger, 1882	Kerala Varnataka								
103	Rana sauriceps Rao, 1937	Karnataka Kerala								
104	Rana semipalmata Boulenger, 1882 Rana sikimensis Jerdon, 1870	Meghalaya, Sikkim, West Bengal								
105	Rana sternosignata Murray, 1885	Jammu & Kashmir								
107	Rana syhadrensis Annandale, 1919	Maharashtra, Orissa								
107	Rana taipehensis Van Denburgh, 1909	Assam, Orissa, West Bengal								
109	Rana temporalis (Günther, 1864)	Karnataka, Kerala, Maharashtra								
110	Rana tenuilingua Rao, 1937	Karnataka Keraia, Manarashira								
111	Rana tigerina Daudin, 1803	all								
112	Rana travancorica Annandale, 1910	Kerala								
113	Rana tuberculata Tilak & Roy, 1985	Uttar Pradesh								
	Rana vicina Stoliczka, 1872	Himachal Pradesh, Jammu & Kashmir, Punjab,								
		Uttar Pradesh								
115	Ranixalus gundia Dubois, 1985	Karnataka								
116	Tomopterna breviceps (Schneider, 1799)	Bihar, Himachal Pradesh, Kerala, Orissa, Punjab,								
		Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, West Bengal								
117	Tomopterna dobsonii (Boulenger, 1882)	Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu								
118	Tomopterna leucorhynchus (Rao, 1937)	Karnataka								
119	Tomopterna parambikulamana (Rao, 1937)	Kerala								
120	Tomopterna rolandae Dubois, 1983	Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Tamil Nadu,								
		West Bengal								

AMPHIBIAN FAUNA OF INDIA

TABLE 1 (contd.)

121	Tomopterna rufescens (Jerdon, 1854)	Kerala, Maharashtra
RHA	COPHORIDAE:	
122	Chirixalus doriae Boulenger, 1893	Arunachal Pradesh
123	Philautus andersoni (Ahl, 1927)	Assam
124	Philautus annandalii (Boulenger, 1906)	Assam, West Bengal
125	Philautus beddomii (Günther, 1875)	Kerala
126	Philautus bombayensis (Annandale, 1919)	Maharashtra
127	Philautus chalazodes (Günther, 1865)	Kerala
128	Philautus charius Rao, 1937	Karnataka, Kerala
129	Philautus cherrapunjiae Roonwal &	Meghalaya
	Kripalani, 1961	5 , ,
130	Philautus crnri Dutta, 1985	Karnataka
131	Philautus elegans Rao, 1937	Karnataka
132	Philautus femoralis (Günther, 1864)	Kerala
133	Philautus flaviventris (Boulenger, 1882)	Kerala
134	Philautus garo (Boulenger, 1919)	Meghalaya
135	Philautus glandulosus (Jerdon, 1853)	Kerala, Maharashtra
136	Philautus hassanensis Dutta, 1985	Karnataka .
137	Philautus kempiae (Boulenger, 1919)	Meghalaya
138	Philautus kottigeharensis Rao, 1937	Karnataka
139	Philautus leucorhinus (Lichtenstein &	Kerala
	Martens, 1856)	
140	Philautus melanensis Rao, 1937	Karnataka
141	Philautus narainensis Rao, 1937	Karnataka
142	Philautus noblei (Ahl, 1927)	Kerala
143	Philautus parkeri (Ahl, 1927)	Kerala
144	Philautus pulcherrimus (Ahl, 1927)	Kerala
145	Philautus shillongensis Pillai & Chanda, 1973	Meghalaya
146	Philautus signatus (Boulenger, 1882)	Kerala
147	Philautus swamianus Rao, 1937	Karnataka
148	Philautus temporalis (Günther, 1864)	Kerala
149		Kerala
150	Philautus variabilis (Günther, 1858)	Andhra Pradesh, Kerala
151	Polypedates leucomystax (Gravenhorst, 1829)	Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Sikkim,
		West Bengal
152	Polypedates maculatus (Gray, 1834)	all (except Haryana, Punjab, Rajasthan)
153	Rhacophorus bipunctatus Ahl, 1927	Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya
154	Rhacophorus calcadensis Ahl, 1927	Kerala
155	Rhacophorus dubius Boulenger, 1882	West Bengal
156	Rhacophorus jerdonii (Günther, 1875)	Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, West Bengal
157	Rhacophorus lateralis Boulenger, 1883	Kerala
158	Rhacophorus malabaricus Jerdon, 1870	Karnataka, Kerala
159	Rhacophorus maximus Günther, 1858	Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, West Bengal
160	Rhacophorus naso Annandale, 1912	Arunachal Pradesh
161	Rhacophorus pleurostictus (Günther, 1864)	Kerala, Tamil Nadu
162	Rhacophorus taeniatus Boulenger, 1906	West Bengal
163	Rhacophorus tuberculatus (Anderson, 1871)	Assam, West Bengal
164	Theloderma asper (Boulenger, 1886)	Arunachal Pradesh
165	Theloderma moloch (Annandale, 1912)	Arunachal Pradesh

TABLE 1 (contd.)

GYMNOPHIONA	
ICHTHYOPHIIDAE:	
166 Ichthyophis beddomei Peters, 1879	Karnataka, Kerala, Tamil Nadu
167 Ichthyophis bombayensis Taylor, 1960	Maharashtra
168 Ichthyophis malabarensis Taylor, 1960	Kerala
169 Ichthyophis pen nsularis Taylor, 1960	Kerala, Tamil Nadu
170 Ichthyophis sikkimensis Taylor, 1960	Sikkim, West Bengal
171 Ichthyophis subterrestris Taylor, 1960	Kerala, Maharashtra
172 Ichthyophis tricolor Annandale, 1909	Kerala
173 Uraeotyphlus malabaricus (Beddome, 1870)	Kerala
174 Uraeotyphlus menoni Annandale, 1913	Kerala
175 Uraeotyphlus narayani Seshachar, 1939	Kerala
176 Uraeotyphlus oxyurus (Duméril & Bibron, 1841)	Kerala
CAECILIIDAE:	
177 Gegeneophis carnosus (Beddome, 1870)	Kerala
178 Gegeneophis fulleri (Alcock, 1904)	Assam
179 Gegeneophis ramaswamii Taylor, 1964	Kerala
180 Indotyphlus battersbyi Taylor, 1960	Maharashtra
CAUDATA	
SALAMANDRIDAE:	
181 Tylototriton verrucosus Anderson, 1871	Arunachal Pradesh, Sikkim, West Bengal

more than their percentage on a world-wide basis. Twenty of the species of anurans have been described since 1970. Wherever recent intensive collecting has been carried out in India, new species of frogs and toads have been discovered, for example, at Silent Valley (Pillai 1981, Pillai and Pattabiraman 1981) and Ponmudi (Inger et al. 1984) in Kerala and in Meghalaya (Pillai and Chanda 1973, 1978; Yazdani and Chanda 1971). Chanda has three new species from northeastern India manuscript (Chanda, personal communication); these are not included in our count of species, but they emphasize the point being made here. As none of these new species can be called "cryptic" or "sibling" and as only one of them belongs to a taxonomically difficult genus (Philautus in this case), the accretion of new forms to the faunal list is still the result of relatively coarse screening. It seems clear that further collecting, particularly in the Eastern and Western Ghats, should uncover additional new species, and that more intensive work in

the Northeast should result in new Indian records of species now known only from the hilly country of Southeast Asia. Recent discovery of sibling species in such widely distributed "species" as *Rana limnocharis* (Dubois 1975) and the virtual doubling of the number of species of caecilians in the last 25 years are additional indications that one can expect the faunal list to grow significantly.

The faunal list includes eight genera not found outside India: among the caecilians, Indotyphlus, Gegeneophis, and Uraeotyphlus; among the anurans, the bufonid Bufoides, the microhylid Melanobatrachus, and the ranids Ranixalus, Nannobatrachus and Nyctibatrachus. The last two are closely related (Shaffer, in press) and, together with Nannophrys from Sri Lanka, probably constitute a single, distinctively Indian, ranid radiation. In addition to Melanobatrachus, the microhylid genera Ramanella (with 6 species in India and 2 in Sri Lanka) and Uperodon (with one of its two species occurring in Sri Lanka as well as

in India) represent at least one additional Indian radiation; the uncertainty arises because, despite an excellent monograph on the Microhylidae (Parker 1934), phylogenetic relationships within the family are obscure. The ranid genus Micrixalus has most of its species in India. Indeed, Pillai (1978) has suggested that only the species from India and Sri Lanka are congeneric. At the very least, the Indian species of Micrixalus constitute another regional radiation. The recently described Ranixalus appears to us, on the basis of the original diagnosis and description (Dubois 1985), to be closely related to Micrixalus and part of the same radiation. The caecilian genera are members of two families and, therefore, represent at least two more Indian radiations.

Additional evidence for the distinctiveness of the Indian amphibian fauna comes from the four most speciose anuran genera, Bufo, Rana, Philautus, and Rhacophorus, and the largest caecilian genus, Ichthyophis, all of which have wide distributions outside of India. Species of these four anuran genera account for 106 of the 165 species of frogs and toads occurring in India, and of those 106, 61 are restricted to India. If we add in those species whose ranges do not extend beyond the territories immediately adjacent to India, i.e., Sri Lanka, Pakistan, Nepal, and Bangladesh, endemism in these four anuran genera increases to 77%. All seven of the Indian species of Ichthyophis are restricted to the territory of India. Turning the picture around, we find only 23% of 181 species of Indian amphibians occur beyond the fringes of India as far as China, Burma, or Southwestern Asia.

GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION WITHIN INDIA

The abundance of species of amphibians is very uneven across India. The highest con-

centrations of species and genera are in the Northeast and in the Western Ghats of the West Peninsular region (Table 2). As endemic species constitute 62% of the Indian fauna, it is not surprising that the distribution of endemics is also uneven: 84 of the endemics are found only in the Western Ghats and 20 only in the Northeast region. The magnitude of the disparity between the two areas of highest diversity and the others shown in the table is partly a reflection of very unequal collecting intensity. This effect seems especially apparent in the case of the Eastern Ghats (included in the East Peninsular region of Table 2); the semi-deciduous forests that still exist there in patches should provide good habitats for a number of species. Yet no endemic arboreal anuran has been recorded from the ghats in Orissa or Andhra Pradesh. However, given the long known association between amphibian diversity and perhumid environments (for an Asian example, see Inger 1980) we expect the regional disparity shown in the table to remain large, for the Northeast and the Western Ghats are the areas of heaviest precipitation in India. The high diversity regions are also those that until relatively recently had large areas of tropical evergreen forests, structurally complex environments providing the maximum number of microhabitats. The interaction between forest environments and diversity is clearly seen when the proportions of bush and tree dwelling frogs in the fauna of the Northeast (32%) and Western Ghats (29%) are compared to the proportions (<13%) in the other regions.

A small group of anuran species accounts for much of the overlap between regions: Bufo melanostictus, Microhyla ornata, Rana cyanophlyctis, R. limnocharis, R. tigerina, and Polypedates maculatus. These species live in close association with man wherever they occur

TABLE 2

Distribution of Indian amphibians by regions. The climates of the Indian regions as defined here are: Northwest—temperate, montane; West—arid to semiarid; Deccan—hot, monsoonal; Ganges-Brahmaputra Valley—humid, hot, monsoonal; East Peninsular—monsoonal, humid in areas; Northeast—humid, to subtropical to tropical, montane; West Peninsular—humid tropical, partly montane.

Region	States included	Order	Genera	Species	Species code*
Northwest	Jammu & Kashmir Himachal Pradesh Punjab	Anura	9	21	5, 17-8, 22, 24, 34, 43-5, 56, 72, 78, 93-4, 98, 106, 111, 113-4,
West	Uttar Pradesh (part) Gujarat Rajasthan	Anura	5	9	116, 152 18, 34, 78, 85, 90, 94, 111, 116, 152
Deccan	Madhya Pradesh Andhra Pradesh (part) Bihar (part) Karnataka (part) Maharashtra (part) Tamil Nadu (part)	Anura	7	18	13, 18, 22, 41-2, 75, 76, 78, 85, 90, 94, 96, 111, 116-7, 120, 150, 152
Ganges-Brahmaputra Valley		Anura	9	18	18, 22, 29, 34-5, 41-3, 64, 76, 78, 85, 94, 108, 111, 116, 120, 152
East Peninsular	Orissa Andhra Pradesh (part) Tamil Nadu (part)	Anura	8	21	13, 18, 22, 34-5, 41-3, 76, 78, 85, 94, 101, 107-8, 111, 116, 117, 120, 150, 161
Northeast	Arunachal Pradesh Bhutan Manipur Meghalaya Sikkim Assam (part) West Bengal (part)	Anura	16	53	1-4, 6, 9, 14, 18, 23, 25-6, 28, 31, 34, 44-7, 65, 67-8, 71-2, 78-9, 82-3, 88-9, 92-5, 97, 105, 111, 122-4, 129, 134, 137, 145, 151, 153, 155-6, 159-60,
West Peninsular	Kerala Maharashtra (part) Karnataka (part) Tamil Nadu (part)	Gymnophiona Caudata Anura	a 2 1 17	2 1 99	162-5 170, 178 181 7-8, 10-1, 13, 15-6, 18-22, 27, 29-30, 34-43, 48-55, 57-63, 69, 70, 73-4, 76-8, 80, 85-7, 90-1, 94, 96, 99, 101-4, 107, 109-12, 115-21, 125-8, 130-3, 135-6, 138-44, 146-50, 152,
		Gymnophion	a 4	13	154, 157-8, 161 166-9, 171-7, 179-80

^{*} Species code = numbers preceding species names in Table 1.

and all but the last range far beyond the borders of India, Removal of these ubiquitous commensals of mankind from the regional lists eliminates or greatly reduces overlap among regions. In fact, without these six weed-like species, there remain only five significant overlaps (i.e., 7 or more species in common to two regions) among regional faunas: both Deccan and Ganges-Brahmaputra faunas with the East and West Peninsular faunas and between the East and West Peninsular faunas. The known overlaps among regional faunas, with the six commensals of man removed, are accounted for largely by a set of seven other species that burrow and live in open fields: Bufo stomaticus, Uperodon globulosus, U. systoma, Rana crassa, Tomopterna breviceps, T. dobsoni, and T. rolandae. The only exceptional overlap is that between Northeast and Northwest faunas, which involves four swiftwater breeders typical of mountainous areas: Rana blanfordi, R. liebigi, and two species of Amolops. Thus, virtually all of the similarity among regions, considering all species of amphibians, is accounted for by species of anurans that can tolerate conditions created by man's activities.

The most distinctive regional faunas are the two largest, the Northeast and the West Peninsular. Pillai and Chanda (1976) recorded the species known at the time from the Northeast and Chanda has a thorough review of this fauna in ms. As would be expected, in the Northeast one finds the largest concentration of species whose ranges are mainly Southeast Asian or Burman-Chinese, 28 of 56 species. In the West Peninsular, as already noted, the largest number of endemics occurs. All Indian caecilians are confined to these two areas of high diversity, 2 of the genera and 13 of 15 species being restricted to the West Peninsular region. Intensive collecting and observation in

the near future will almost certainly increase the number of endemics known from the East Peninsular, Deccan, and Ganges regions, but it is unlikely that the numbers will ever approach that in the West Peninsular area.

Beyond the changes in overlap between Indian regions, additional collecting and reporting will clarify the ranges of many species that have obviously imperfectly known distributions. As examples, we need only cite the ranges of *Uperodon systoma*, *Rana beddomii*, *R. crassa*, *R. malabarica*, and *R. syhadrensis* (see Table 1) each of which has a gap that appears to be an artifact resulting from imperfect knowledge rather than a significant biological phenomenon.

Despite the present weaknesses in the faunal lists of large areas and in the known ranges of individual species, it is clear that Indian amphibian species constitute three distributional (1) types: species confined to the Western Ghats, the largest unit; (2) species known in India only from the Northeast; and (3) a set of essentially ubiquitous species that comprise the bulk of the known fauna in all of the territory between the Western Ghats and the Northeast.

COMPARISON WITH FAUNAS OF OTHER REGIONS

Although the Indian amphibian fauna has a number of endemic genera and many endemic species, it does share species with adjacent areas (see above). Most of these shared species occur in Burma (33 anurans, 1 salamander) and somewhat fewer in Sri Lanka (21 species of anurans) and Nepal (16 anurans, 1 salamander). These relations are what one would expect given the relative sizes of the adjacent faunas and the nature of environments at the borders. Twenty-one species are shared with China, but all except

5 of them also are known from Burma. None of the Indian caecilians is known from outside the country.

Compared to anuran faunas to the east, the Indian fauna seems to have high proportions of frogs of the families Ranidae and Rhacophoridae and low proportions of Pelobatidae and Microhylidae (Table 3). However, apply-

Diversity of the Indian anuran fauna at the species level appears to be higher than in the other Asian faunas (Table 3). The difference may be due to the wide geographic separation of the two largest Indian subregional faunas, which has clearly resulted in two separate areas of speciation, and the juxtaposition of one of them to a rich,

Table 3

Comparison of Indian amphibian fauna with those of other Oriental areas. Sources for areas other than India

		Indi	a	Th	ailand			Yunna	an		Borne	0
Family	Number of % of		Number of % of		Number of % of			Number of % of				
	gen.*	spp.*	* spp.	gen.	spp.	spp.	gen.	spp.	spp.	gen.	spp.	spp.
ANURA	27	165		22	86		18	60		26	122	
DISCOGLOSSIDAE							1	2	3.3	1	1	0.8
PELOBATIDAE	3	6	3.6	2	11	12.8	3	11	18.3	3	11	9.0
HYLIDAE	1	1	0.6	1	1	1.2	1	1	1.7			
BUFONIDAE	4	21	12.7	4	7	8.1	1	2	3.3	6	28	23.0
MICROHYLIDAE	5	15	9.1	5	13	15.1	4	9	15.0	7	20	16.4
RANIDAE	8	77	46.7	4	37	43.0	4	23	38.3	5	34	27.9
RHACOPHORIDAE	6	45	27.3	6	17	19.8	4	12	20.0	4	28	23.0
GYMNOPHION A	4 4	15		1	4		1	1		2	5	
CAECILIIDAE	2	4										
ICHTHYOPHIIDAE	2	11		1	4		-1	1		2	5	

Sources: Anura=Thailand—Taylor, 1962. Yunnan—Zool. Inst. Sichuan, 1977. Borneo—Inger, 1966; Inger & Frogner, 1979; Inger & Gritis, 1983; Dring, 1983a &b; Kiew, 1984a, 1984b; Matsui, 1986. Gymnophiona=Frost, 1985.

ing an arcsin test of the proportion of species in each family in the Indian fauna against the corresponding proportion in each of the other faunas yields only one statistically significant difference: that between the proportions of ranid species in the Indian and Bornean faunas (t=3.40, P=0.001). Therefore, in terms of distribution of species of anurans in families, the Indian fauna does not differ importantly from the Southeastern faunas.

external source (Burma) of additional species. Diversity of Indian anurans in terms of genera does not differ significantly from the other Asian faunas (Table 3).

India clearly has a larger and generically more diverse caecilian fauna than the other areas (Table 3). As observed earlier, this high diversity is concentrated almost entirely in the Western Ghats.

^{*} Genera.

^{**} Species.

Conclusion

The following points seem evident to us:

- (1) That the Indian amphibian fauna as a whole is quite distinct, having endemic genera of ranid and microhylid frogs and caecilians, and a large number of endemic species of several wide-spread Oriental genera Bufo, Rana, Philautus, and Ichthyophis.
- (2) That there are only two Indian areas of known high endemism, the Northeast and the West Peninsular (which includes the Western Ghats).
- (3) That the Indian fauna is divisible into three groups of species: those known only

- from the Northeast, those known only from the Western Ghats, and a small group of ubiquitous species.
- (4) That as expected the regions abutting other continental areas, that is, the Northwest and Northeast, show the highest levels of non-Indian species.
- (5) That the actual geographic distributions of many Indian species are very poorly known and, therefore, that knowledge of the faunas of several Indian regions is very weak.
- (6) That additional intensive collecting and observation will certainly result in the discovery of new species as well as improvement in our understanding of distribution of the fauna.

REFERENCES

BOULENGER, G. A. (1890): The fauna of British India. Reptilia and Batrachia. London.

Daniel, J. C. (1963): Field guide to the amphibians of Western India. Parts 1 & 2. J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc., 60: 415-438, 690-702.

DRING, J. (1983a): Frogs of the genus *Leptobrachella* (Pelobatidae). *Amph.-Rept.*, 4: 89-102.

DUBOIS, A. (1975): Un nouveau complexe d'especes jumelles distinguees par le chant: le grenouilles du Nepal voisines de *Rana limnocharis* Boie (Amphibiens, Anoures). *C. R. Acad. Sci.* Paris, 281: 1717-1720.

d'un nouveau genre de Ranoidea (Amphibiens, Anoures) du sud de l'Inde. Alytes, 4: 113-118.

FROST, D. R. (ed.) (1985): Amphibian species of the world. Lawrence, Kansas.

INGER, R. F. (1966): The systematics and zoo-geography of the Amphibia of Borneo. *Fieldiana*: *Zool.*, 52: 1-402.

frogs and lizards in forests of Southeast Asia. *Biotropica*, 12: 14-22.

———— & GRITIS, P. (1983): Variation in Bornean frogs of the *Amolops jerboa* species group, with description of two new species. *Field-diana*: *Zool.*, (n.s.), no. 19, 13 pp.

BAKDE, R. (1984): A report on a collection of amphibians and reptiles from the Ponmudi, Kerala, South India. J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc. 81: 406-427, 551-570.

JAYARAM, K. C. (1974): Ecology and distribution of freshwater fishes, Amphibia, and reptiles. *In*: Ecology and biogeography in India. pp. 517-584.

Kiew, B. H. (1984a): A new species of burrowing frog (Calluella flava) from Borneo. Malayan Nat. J., 37: 163-166.

(1984b): A new species of frog (Kalophrynus baluensis) from Mount Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia. ibid. 38: 151-156.

MAHENDRA, B. C. (1939): The zoogeography of India in the light of herpetological studies. *Sci. & Culture*, 4, no. 7, 11 pp.

MATSUI, M. (1986): Three new species of *Amolops* from Borneo (Amphibia, Anura, Ranidae). *Copeia*, 1986: 623-630.

PARKER, H. W. (1934): A monograph of the frogs of the family Microhylidae. London.

PILLAI, R. S. (1978): A new frog of the genus *Micrixalus* Boul. from Wynad, S. India. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, (B), 87: 173-177.

Amphibia from Silent Valley, S. India. Bull. Zool. Survey India, 3: 153-158.

& CHANDA, S. K. (1973): Philautus shillongensis, a new frog (Ranidae) from Meghalaya, India. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., (B), 78: 30-36.

(1976): The distribution pattern of Amphibia in North-East India. J. Assam Sci. Soc., 19, no. 53, 4 pp.

species of frogs (Ranidae) from Khasi Hills, India. J. Bombay nat. Hist. Soc., 74: 136-140.

RAO, C. R. N. (1937): On some new forms of Batrachia from S. India. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, (B), 6: 387-426.

SHAFFER, H. B. (in press): Size and scaling in the Indian frogs *Nyctibatrachus* and *Nannobatrachus* (Ranidae). *Fieldiana*: Zool., (n.s.).

TAYLOR, E. H. (1960): On the caecilian species Ichthyophis monochrous and Ichthyophis glutinosus with descriptions of related species. Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull., 40: 37-120.

from India (Amphibia, Gymnophiona). Senckenbergiana Biol., 45: 227-231.

YAZDANI, G. M. & CHANDA, S. K. (1971): A new toad, Ansonia meghalyana (family Bufonidae) from Meghalaya (Assam), India, with observations on its breeding on Pandanus furcatus Roxb. J. Assam Sci. Soc., 14: 76-80.

ZOOLOGICAL INSTITUTE OF SICHUAN (1977): Key to the Amphibia of China. Chengdu, Sichuan.